

1 Edwin Aiwanian (Cal. State Bar No. 232943)
2 *edwin@calljustice.com*
3 Arby Aiwanian (Cal. State Bar No. 269827)
4 *arby@calljustice.com*
5 Jacob Karczewski (Cal. State Bar No. 268295)
6 *jacob@calljustice.com*
7 Talar DerOhannessian (Cal. State Bar No. 311687)
8 *talar@calljustice.com*
9
10 **LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC**
11 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203
12 Glendale, California 91203
13 Telephone: (818) 265-1020
14 Facsimile: (818) 265-1021

Attorneys for Plaintiff Yvonne Wilson

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

YVONNE WILSON, individually, and
on behalf of other members of the
general public similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ZENITH AMERICAN SOLUTIONS,
INC., an unknown business entity; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:20-cv-05617-JST

Honorable Jon S. Tigard

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF TALAR
DEROHANESSIAN IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW**

Date: November 17, 2022

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 6 (2nd Floor)

Complaint Filed: June 16, 2020

SAC Filed: July 23, 2021

Trial Date: Not Set

1 **DECLARATION OF TALAR DEROHANNESSIAN**

2 I, Talar DerOhannessian, declare as follows:

3 1. I am an attorney fully licensed to practice before all courts of the State
4 of California and the United States District Court for the Northern District of
5 California. I am a member of Lawyers *for* Justice, PC, counsel for Plaintiff
6 Yvonne Wilson (“Plaintiff”) in this case. The facts set forth in this declaration are
7 within my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would
8 competently testify as follows.

9 2. From the filing of this action and through June 15, 2022, Plaintiff and
10 I remained in regular contact. There appeared to be no issues and I understood
11 that Plaintiff would appear, as expected, in-person, for her deposition on June 17,
12 2022. Plaintiff and I had additional, privileged communications related to the
13 deposition she would attend—the most recent of these communications was
14 telephonic and occurred on June 15, 2022.

15 3. On one occasion, Plaintiff had a family emergency and had to travel
16 out of the state when her deposition was to be taken, on May 6, 2022. She did not
17 inform me or any of my colleagues that she would be travelling out of town and
18 would no longer be available for the in-person deposition. When I found out, I
19 immediately informed Defense Counsel and we rescheduled her deposition for
20 June 17, 2022.

21 4. On June 16, 2022, I traveled from Los Angeles to Sacramento in order
22 to defend Plaintiff’s deposition.

23 5. I reached out to Plaintiff, via email, the night before, on June 16, 2022,
24 as well as a half hour before the 9:00 a.m. start time of the deposition, but I did not
25 hear back from Plaintiff.

26 6. When it became clear that Plaintiff was either running late or would
27 be unable to appear, my administrative assistant (in Los Angeles) and I continued
28 to make a sustained effort to reach Plaintiff for answers. Following the

1 nonappearance on June 17, 2022, my administrative assistant and I attempted to
2 contact Plaintiff via telephone but I was ultimately unsuccessful in my efforts to
3 reach Plaintiff. Unfortunately, these attempts were unsuccessful, and we did not
4 ascertain the reason for Plaintiff's failure to appear. Since then, Plaintiff's Counsel
5 has tried everything to salvage the attorney-client relationship, to no avail.

6 7. On June 27, 2022, Plaintiff's Counsel was finally successful in
7 reaching Plaintiff telephonically. Plaintiff's Counsel, in an effort to salvage the
8 attorney-client relationship, sought the reason for Plaintiff's nonappearance and
9 advised Plaintiff of the utmost importance of complying with her duties as a
10 putative class representative as well as her obligations under the legal service
11 agreement signed between Plaintiff and her counsel. Plaintiff had until June 30,
12 2022 to inform our offices of her intentions moving forward or we would be
13 seeking to withdraw as her counsel of record.

14 8. On June 30, 2022, after receiving no word from Plaintiff, Plaintiff's
15 Counsel called and left a voicemail to follow up.

16 9. On July 7, 2022, our office mailed and email a letter to Plaintiff
17 regarding her breach of the service agreement and the breakdown in
18 communication between our firm and Plaintiff. This letter informed Plaintiff that
19 we would seek to withdraw as her counsel of record unless we heard back from
20 her on July 12, 2022. That letter advised her to take steps to employ new counsel
21 if she would no longer work with our firm. The letter also provided her with a list
22 of all time-sensitive deadlines and upcoming hearing dates. Along with that letter,
23 our office delivered, to Plaintiff, electronic and physical copies of all relevant
24 documents and papers from her case file.

25 10. To date, our office has received a response from Plaintiff. The reason
26 for her nonappearance for her June 17, 2022 deposition remains unknown.
27 Plaintiff's Counsel is left with no other alternative but to seek the Court's
28 permission to withdraw as Counsel, via an anticipated Motion to Withdraw

1 pursuant to Local Rule 11- 5 and California Rules of Professional Conduct
2 1.16(b)(4) and 1.16(b)(5). There is good cause to allow withdrawal under these
3 circumstances.

4 11. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
5 California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

6 Executed on this 17th day of August 2022 at Glendale, California.

7
8 */s/ Talar DerOhannessian*
9 Talar DerOhannessian

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC
410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203
Glendale, California 91203